

Encoding and Decoding 3-D Crystals

Jordan Hoffmann · Louis Maestrati · Yoshihide Sawada Jian Tang · Jean Michel Sellier · Yoshua Bengio

Applications

Specific compounds of interest:

- batteries
- solar cells

Applications

- Specific compounds of interest:
- batteries
- solar cells



(Now) Works well for drug discovery.

Applications

- Specific compounds of interest:
- batteries
- solar cells



(Now) Works well for drug discovery.

Random structure searching

Abstract

It is essential to know the arrangement of the atoms in a material in order to compute and understand its properties. Searching for stable structures of materials using first-principles electronic structure methods, such as density-functional-theory (DFT), is a rapidly growing field. Here we describe our support of powerful approach to searching for structures with Denomination and the structures of solids, point defects, surfaces, and clusters are reviewed. New results for iron clusters on graphene, silicon clusters, polymeric nitrogen, hydrogen-rich lithium hydrides, and boron are presented.



Figure 3. Left: A structure built by placing carbon atoms randomly within a small sub-box, subject to symmetry constraints. Random structures were generated and then screened to determine whether the atoms were three-fold coordinated. If not, the structure was rejected and another one was generated. Right: relaxation of this structure within DFT gave the well-known C_{60} "buckyball".



Figure 8. The $I4_1/a$ structure of silane (left) and the slightly less stable $I\bar{4}2d$ structure (right). Silicon atoms are shown in gold and hydrogen atoms are in white. All of the bonds in $I4_1/a$ and $I\bar{4}2d$ are of the Si–H–Si type. Both phases were subsequently found experimentally.

High-Pressure Phases of Silane

Chris J. Pickard and R. J. Needs Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 045504 – Published 27 July 2006



Figure 2. Silicon clusters were generated by placing atoms randomly within a small box inside a large unit cell and relaxing within DFT. The algorithm generated the same lowest-energy structures obtained in previous DFT studies [22], including the two "magic" number clusters with seven and ten atoms. We also found many local minima. The highest-energy minimum for each cluster size is only about 0.25 eV per atom higher in energy than the minimum energy structure.

Ab initio random structure searching

Chris J Pickard¹ and R J Needs² Published 5 January 2011 • IOP Publishing Ltd Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, Volume 23, Number 5





Less data exists slower to compute

6 additional degrees of freedom are needed



Crystal Graph Convolutional Neural Networks for an Accurate and Interpretable Prediction of Material Properties

Tian Xie and Jeffrey C. Grossman Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 145301 – Published 6 April 2018

Input format

generated using pymatgen data Ti2MnIn _symmetry_space_group_name_H-M 'P 1' _cell_length_a 4.48903651 cell length b 4.48903651 _cell_length_c 4.48903651 _cell_angle_alpha 60.00000000 _cell_angle_beta 60.00000000 _cell_angle_gamma 60.0000000 _symmetry_Int_Tables_number 10 1 _chemical_formula_structural Ti2MnIn _chemical_formula_sum 'Ti2 Mn1 In1' cell volume 63.96529632 _cell_formula_units_Z 1 loop _symmetry_equiv_pos_site_id _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz 1 'x, y, z' loop_ _atom_site_type_symbol atom site label _atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity _atom_site_fract_x _atom_site_fract_y _atom_site_fract_z _atom_site_occupancy Ti Til 1 -0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 1 Ti Ti2 1 0.750000 0.750000 0.750000 1 29 Mn Mn3 1 0.500000 0.500000 0.500000 1 In In4 1 0.250000 0.250000 0.250000 1

We need:

Locations
Species

 $rac{d(ec{Z}_m,(i,j,k))^2}{2\sigma^2}$ $M_{i,j,k} = rac{1}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{m} Z_m \exp \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{m} \frac{1}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum$ m

generated using pymatgen data Ti2MnIn _symmetry_space_group_name_H-M 'P 1' _cell_length_a 4.48903651 cell length b 4.48903651 _cell_length_c 4.48903651 _cell_angle_alpha 60.00000000 _cell_angle_beta 60.00000000 _cell_angle_gamma 60.00000000 _symmetry_Int_Tables_number 10 1 _chemical_formula_structural Ti2MnIn _chemical_formula_sum 'Ti2 Mn1 In1' cell volume 63.96529632 _cell_formula_units_Z 1 loop _symmetry_equiv_pos_site_id _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz 1 'x, y, z' loop_ _atom_site_type_symbol atom site label _atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity _atom_site_fract_x _atom_site_fract_y _atom_site_fract_z _atom_site_occupancy Ti Til 1 -0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 1 Ti Ti2 1 0.750000 0.750000 0.750000 1 29 Mn Mn3 1 0.500000 0.500000 0.500000 1 In In4 1 0.250000 0.250000 0.250000 1

We need:

Locations
Species

 $M_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_m Z_m \exp\left(-\frac{d(\vec{Z}_m, (i, j, k))^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

$$M_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{\sigma^3 (2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_m Z_m \exp\left(-\frac{d(\vec{Z}_m, (i, j, k))^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Variational Autoencoder

U-Net



Variational Autoencoder

U-Net



Encodes and decodes the density matrix.

Solves the problem of converting a density field back to species. If sigma = 0, trivial task. In theory, this is just solving a complicated optimization problem.

Variational Autoencoder U-Net



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{VAE}} = L_{\text{RE}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}, \boldsymbol{M}) + \beta(D_{\text{KL}}(q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{M})||p(\boldsymbol{z}))) + \gamma L_{\text{BCE}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}, \boldsymbol{S})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{U-Net}} = L_{ ext{BCE}}(\hat{m{S}},m{S})$$



- 10 Angstrom on a side, used 30 and 60 pixels across
- 46,000 crystal structures, 35,000 considered
- Always centered, but we can rotate them randomly



Location Prediction



Location Prediction

Species Prediction



Target



Target













Prediction

Target largest electron density

Random Samples

Atoms

